

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,874	03/31/2004	David W. Balsdon	2003P04841US-01	4672
7:	590 08/26/2005	EXAMINER		
	ORPORATION	MILLER, CARL STUART		
	AL PROPERTY DEPA VENUE SOUTH	ARTMENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ISELIN, NJ 0			3747	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			TNL				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/812,874	BALSDON, DAVID W.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Carl S. Miller	3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PLV IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	MONTH(S) EDOM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communic  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 April 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ 1	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7 and 13-16</u> is/are pending in the	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.					
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the p</li></ul>		•					
application from the International Bur	· · · · · ·	· ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/812,874

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-7 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki ('048) in view of Satoh.

Yamazaki teaches all of the limitations of the claims except the chambers of the valves are not identically created by the diaphragm valve's structure. Satoh teaches a valve structure basically identical to the applicants' structure.

It would have been obvious to modify Yamazaki by using the valve structure of Satoh to open the vapor line because both valves operated in the same way to feed fuel vapor from the fuel tank to the canister.

Applicant's arguments filed April 23, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In particular, an argument can be made for the fact that the <u>inlet</u> of the Yamazaki device is out of fuel vapor communication with the vapor headspace and there is no question about the fact that the outlet is positioned proximate the bottom of the fuel tank. Furthermore, Satoh teaches a refueling tube which is clearly out of communication with the vapor space at its inlet and in most cases within the liquid level of the fuel. Even the applicant's tube will not be below the liquid level at very lo9w fuel levels. Thus, positioning the tube of Yamazaki out of communication with the vapor space would have been obvious in view of Satoh.

Art Unit: 3747

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen, can be reached at 571-272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/812,874

Art Unit: 3747

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carl S. Milie?

Page 4

Primary Exemi.